

# ID Card Picker: Consent UX That Prevents Undersharing, Oversharing, and Replay

People & In-Person / Last updated 2026-06-11 / <https://www.scrambleid.com/learn/unified-id-card-picker-consent>

**Status (June 2026):** Early access. The ID Card Picker ships as part of the People verification family, which is live with early-access customers and isn't generally available yet. This article describes the shipped design as it stands today; talk to your ScrambleID account team about access and timelines.

**In one sentence:** The ID Card Picker is the consent UX that lets a verifier declare a **minimum required profile** (Work / Minimal / Anonymous / None) while ensuring the presenter can preview and consent, without forcing overshare and without allowing under-share.

## TL;DR (canonical)

- The picker enforces *minimum* requirements while preserving presenter autonomy over optional fields.
- Strict requirements reduce UI complexity (Accept/Reject or Filtered Picker) instead of increasing it.
- The presenter always sees a preview of exactly what will be shared before tapping **Accept & Share**.
- The picker is a security control: it prevents under-sharing (missing mandatory fields) and reduces oversharing.

## The core problem

People verification fails when:

- verifiers can't request what they need (undersharing), or
- presenters over-share sensitive data (oversharing), or
- the process is slow enough that teams revert to screenshots.

The picker is designed to make the safe path the fastest path.

## Requirement pill (what the verifier sets)

The verifier chooses a simple requirement:

- **Need: None** → presenter can share any profile
- **Need: Work** → presenter must share a Work-compliant view
- **Need: Minimal** → presenter must share a minimal compliant view
- **Need: Anonymous** → presenter shares only handle + human-verified cues

This requirement is expressed as a stable data contract.

## Requirement data contract (concept)

```
{
  "requiredProfile": {
    "level": "WORK",
    "mandatoryFields": ["legalName", "companyName", "title"]
  }
}
```

**Cryptographic detail:** how a picker share is signed by the presenter's device key and bound to the Trust Check session (payload structure, atomic validation, replay handling) is specified in [Session binding cryptography](#) in the architecture reference.

## Receiver UI states (S0 / S1 / S2)

The receiver experience is context-adaptive:

State	Trigger	Receiver UI	Why it matters
S0 Full Picker	no requirement	full set of profile tiles	maximizes choice when safe
S1 Accept/Reject	requirement set and last-used profile already complies	single preview + Accept/Reject	fastest path, reduces taps
S2 Filtered Picker	requirement set and last-used profile non-compliant	only compliant tiles; confirm disabled until compliant	prevents under-share without nagging

## Modify / Quick Edit (bounded autonomy)

Even when the receiver sees S1 Accept/Reject, they should be able to tap **Modify** to:

- toggle optional fields *without breaking compliance*
- keep mandatory fields locked

Example:

- Work requirement mandates Name + Company + Title.
- Receiver may toggle LinkedIn or Department OFF.
- Receiver may not hide Name/Company/Title.

---

## Admin controls (enterprise policies)

Recommended enterprise toggles:

- Default requirement pill to **Work** for enterprise tenants
- Disable Anonymous tile (if required)
- Lock mandatory fields (directory-backed)

---

## Analytics (what to log)

The picker is also an instrumentation surface. Log:

- receiver\_state: S0 / S1 / S2
- accept / reject / modify\_used
- time\_in\_picker
- overrides (optional fields toggled)

This yields defensible metrics for rollout and iteration.

---

## The picker flow (sequence)

The picker is easiest to review when you treat it like a strict, replay-resistant transaction:

```

sequenceDiagram
    participant V as Verifier
    participant P as Presenter
    participant S as ScrambleID

    V->>S: Create request (requiredProfile + DID + TTL)
    S->>V: Render requirement pill + DID/QID
    V->>P: Present request (in person / QR / code)
    P->>S: Open picker (shows requirement + preview)
    P->>P: Review preview (mandatory locked)
    alt Compliant default (S1)
        P->>S: Accept & Share
    else Non-compliant default (S2)
        P->>P: Choose compliant tile / modify optional fields
        P->>S: Accept & Share
    end
end
S->>V: Return signed view + provenance (single-use)

```

## Consent copy templates (copy/paste)

Use simple, non-legalistic language. The goal is for a non-technical user to understand **what** will be shared and **why**.

### Requirement pill labels

- **Need: Work** → "Share your work identity (Name, Company, Title)"
- **Need: Minimal** → "Share a minimal identity (Name + one verifier cue)"
- **Need: Anonymous** → "Share an anonymous confirmation (handle + verified cues)"

### Preview header

- "You're about to share this info with {VerifierName}."
- "Required fields are locked. You can toggle optional fields."

### Accept button

- **Accept & Share**

### Reject button

- **Reject** ("Nothing is shared")

## Modify link

- **Modify** ("Optional fields")

## Optional field disclosure hint

- "Optional fields help the verifier finish the workflow faster."

---

## Threat model + mitigations (why this UX is a security control)

Threat	What happens in the real world	Picker mitigation	Recommended policy add-ons
<b>Undershare</b>	presenter omits required field; workflow fails; teams revert to screenshots	requirement pill + S2 filtered picker	lock required fields in enterprise tenants
<b>Overshare</b>	presenter shares too much; sensitive fields leak	optional fields default OFF; preview before share	enforce minimal defaults for high-risk workflows
<b>Replay / forwarding</b>	screenshot/forwarded card reused later	bind to DID + short TTL; single-use responses	require step-up (XFactor, in development) for risky verifications
<b>Shoulder-surfing</b>	bystander views on-screen details	minimal default views; short preview; optional redactions	allow "privacy mode" for sensitive attributes
<b>Coercion</b>	presenter is pressured to share more than necessary	requirement sets a ceiling (minimum) not a demand for extras	add "deny and escalate" path (supervisor today; Lockstep, in development, once it ships)

---

## Accessibility + localization considerations

- **Time limits:** if the request TTL is short, warn early and allow a simple "Try again" flow rather than a hard failure.
- **Screen readers:** ensure the preview reads "required vs optional" fields distinctly.
- **Localization:** do not translate requirement levels (WORK/MINIMAL) for policy logic; translate only display labels.
- **Color safety:** never rely on color alone for "required" vs "optional" (use icons/text).

---

## Key Takeaway

The ID Card Picker is a consent UX that prevents three failure modes: undersharing (user omits required fields), oversharing (user reveals more than necessary), and replay (user reuses a stale

share). It enforces policy-required fields, filters available attributes to what's relevant, and generates single-use, time-bounded verification tokens.

---

## FAQ

### Does the picker force oversharing?

No. It enforces a minimum (mandatory fields) but preserves control over optional fields.

### Why not let the receiver always choose any profile?

Because many workflows require a minimum to be safe and operationally useful (e.g., Work identity for a field technician).

### How does this help security?

It prevents under-share (missing required fields) and reduces overshare (sensitive fields default OFF). Combined with provenance, it reduces spoofing.

### How does this help adoption?

The fast path (S1) is one tap in the common case, which is essential for non-technical users.

### Can we measure if requirements are too strict?

Yes. If S2 state frequency and reject rates spike, your requirement defaults are too strict or your profiles aren't well configured.

---

## References (public)

- WCAG 2.2 (timeouts / enough time): <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/>
  - Understanding WCAG 2.2.1 Timing Adjustable: <https://www.w3.org/WAI/WCAG21/Understanding/timing-adjustable.html>
  - NIST Privacy Framework (Version 1.0): <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/CSWP/NIST.CSWP.01162020.pdf>
- 

## Related reading

- [People Trust Checks: Overview](#)
- [People Verification Implementation Guide](#)
- [Unified ID Card: Attribute Provenance](#)